



VccSSe – National Level Evaluation of the 2nd Year of the Project

I. Management of the project

1. Assess the management of the project in the second year on the coordinating institution level:

poor quite good good very good excellent

Please, specify your answer

2. Assess the management of the project in the second year on your institution level:

poor quite good good very good excellent

Please, specify your answer

3. How easy or difficult do you find the paperwork (report sheets etc.) in the project after the second year of it? Specify your opinion

II. Significance of the coordinating meetings

4. Gijon meeting (Spain, 21-25 November 2007)



VccSSe – National Level Evaluation of the 2nd Year of the Project

5. Joensuu meeting (Finland, 18-22 April 2008)

6. Patras meeting (Greece, 10-24 September 2008)

III. Co-operation with the coordinator and partners in / between meetings

7. Co-operation with the coordinator

8. Co-operation with the other project partners

IV. Co-operation and support within your institution

9. Co-operation with the technicians (ICT specialists, web administrators etc.)

10. Co-operation with other members of the local project team



VccSSe – National Level Evaluation of the 2nd Year of the Project

11. Co-operation with the financial department

12. The overall support of the institution towards the project during the second year

V. Progress of the project

13. How do you assess the outcomes in relation to the timetable of the second year?

poor quite good good very good excellent

14. How would you evaluate the efficiency of the “students’ classroom impact” assessment tool?

poor quite good good very good excellent

15. How would you evaluate the efficiency of the “teachers’ classroom impact” assessment tool?

poor quite good good very good excellent

16. What is your opinion on the quality of the project web page contents after the second year of the project?

poor quite good good very good excellent

17. What is your opinion on the quality of the VI exhibition contents after the second year of the project?

poor quite good good very good excellent

18. How easy or difficult did you find identifying the teachers that participated to the training programme? What specific actions did you take in this respect? Specify your opinion



VccSse – National Level Evaluation of the 2nd Year of the Project

19. How easy or difficult did you find motivating teachers for accomplishing the tasks during the training period? Specify your opinion

20. What would be your suggestion for improving the manner of presenting the products of the training sessions on the project web page?

21. Is it easy or difficult to get a clear idea of the project phases in the second year? Why?

VI. Commitment to the project during the second year

22. How would you consider your dedication and participation in the project during the second year?

23. Do you feel you can allocate enough of your regular working time for the project? Specify your answer.



VccSSe – National Level Evaluation of the 2nd Year of the Project

VII. The strengths and weaknesses of the project

24. Mention, if any, the weaknesses of the project regarded in its actual stage and clarify the reasons.

25. Mention the strengths of the project regarded in its actual stage and clarify the reasons.

VIII. Future of the project and some considerations

26. What are your expectations concerning the project in the next (and final) year?

27. How do you envisage the dissemination of the project in its final year?